

POSTNOTE

POSTNOTE 651 September 2021

Blue carbon



Marine ecosystems around the UK can both increase and decrease atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. Carbon loss and gain globally by these ecosystems has the potential to influence climate change. This POSTnote summarises the marine ecosystems in the UK that contribute to these processes, their current and potential future extent, and pressures on them.

Background

The UK is legally committed to reaching net zero emissions of greenhouse gasses (GHGs) by 2050, with an interim commitment to a 78% reduction from 1990 levels by 2035.^{1–3} The UK's independent Climate Change Committee (CCC) has determined that meeting this target will require not just reducing emissions, but also the active removal of GHGs from the atmosphere, particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂).^{4–6} While technological solutions are being developed (PN-618), natural systems already remove CO₂ from the atmosphere (PN-636).

The process of removing CO₂ from the atmosphere and into a store is known as carbon sequestration.^{7,8} In natural habitats, this involves CO₂ being converted into organic carbon, such as plant matter, which can then be stored in the long-term as a 'stock'.^{9,10} Subsequent damage to these habitats can cause stored carbon to be released back to the atmosphere.¹¹ Blue carbon is almost entirely stored in sediments, which gives it the potential to be stored over long timescales.^{8,10,12} However, blue carbon is not included in the UK's national GHG inventory and the carbon sequestration and release is not accounted for.¹³ Blue carbon habitats are generally less well understood than

Overview

- 'Blue carbon' refers to carbon that is stored in marine ecosystems, where management of those ecosystems impacts that carbon.
- These ecosystems sequester and store around 2% of UK emissions per year.
- Marine ecosystems also provide other benefits such as biodiversity, flood protection, and support for valuable fish and shellfish populations.
- Disturbance of marine ecosystems may result in release of stored carbon and thereby contribute to climate change, but the exact volume released is unknown. The UK Government will pilot new approaches to protecting blue carbon.
- Investment in blue carbon projects in the UK is limited by a lack of verifiable standards and scientific evidence, although development of codes is ongoing.
- Blue carbon projects are not a substitute for substantial emissions reductions.

equivalent terrestrial habitats, ¹⁴ but there is evidence of their importance to the global carbon budget, particularly for countries with extensive coastlines. ^{14–16} This includes the UK, which has a marine Exclusive Economic Zone of 756,639km² locally, and a further 6,031,910km² associated with the UK Overseas Territories. ^{17,18} UK Overseas Territories (UKOTs) make up around 1% of emissions in the UK's national GHG inventory, but contribute a much greater percentage of the UK's marine carbon sequestration. ^{18,19} Various blue carbon habitats exist in the UK, some of which are better understood than others. ²⁰

Well understood systems

The earliest studies on blue carbon focussed on three coastal habitat types: saltmarsh, seagrass, and mangroves. ^{15,21} These are still the best understood stores of blue carbon, and are the only three blue carbon habitats where the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has published guidelines for inclusion in national GHG inventories. ^{22,23} However, most are not currently included in the UK's national GHG inventory. ^{13,24} These habitats are all either found in UK waters or in UK Overseas Territories' waters. ^{25,26}

Saltmarsh

Saltmarshes are coastal ecosystems that are flooded at high tide and exposed at low tide, which support a characteristic plant community. There are approximately 441km² of saltmarsh in the UK, 325km² of which are in England: around 30% of all saltmarsh in Europe. Natural saltmarshes sequester carbon very efficiently in terms of area: estimates from the UK range between 235 and 804tCO2e/km²/year (tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per square kilometre per year), with most estimates falling between 440 and 550tCO2e/km²/year. The rate of sequestration is partially offset by methane emissions from saltmarshes at the low salinity end of estuaries. Mature saltmarshes also store a stock of previously sequestered carbon. Saltmarshes in Eastern England contain a mean carbon stock of 25,500tCO2e/km² in the top 30cm of sediment.

It is estimated that UK saltmarsh extent has declined by more than 15% since 1945, and possibly by as much as 85% since 1870, primarily due to coastal development.^{20,33,34} Climate change also threatens saltmarsh through a process known as "coastal squeeze", where artificial coastal defences prevent saltmarsh from adapting to sea level rise (PN-647).35 Coastal squeeze is projected to reduce saltmarsh extent by as much as 4.5% in the next 20 years.²⁹ The potential for creating or restoring saltmarsh is uncertain: estimates range from 100 km² in the UK as a whole to 220 km² in England alone, but examples of successful restoration projects exist (Box 1). 20,36-38 Saltmarsh creation can occur through a variety of mechanisms, depending on the initial state of the land being used.³⁷ Estimated costs vary from £1,000,000-£5,000,000/km², although outliers exist.^{20,39} This equates to between £37 and £183 per tCO₂e after 100 years.³² Because the majority of the cost comes from land purchasing, costs will vary by location.²⁰

Restored saltmarshes rapidly begin to sequester carbon. A study of restored saltmarshes in eastern England estimated an initial sequestration rate of 385tCO2e/km²/year, falling to 241tCO2e/km²/year after 20 years.³² Overall, sequestration in restored saltmarshes is slower than in natural saltmarshes, but total stored carbon in sediments is similar after 100 years.³² Saltmarsh provides a variety of non-carbon benefits, such as flood prevention, improved water quality, and biodiversity.^{40,41} However, biodiversity in restored saltmarshes is lower than in historic saltmarshes, and can take time to establish.⁴²

Seagrass

Seagrasses are a group of aquatic plants that form submerged meadows on shallow sandy or muddy sediments. There are several species in UK waters. ⁴³ Their total extent is uncertain, but is estimated to be 70-90km², more than half of which is in England. ^{44–46} Most blue carbon studies have focussed on Mediterranean systems, but studies of restored seagrass meadows in temperate waters suggest carbon sequestration rates of between 42 and 136tCO₂e/km²/year. ^{20,45,47,48} Seagrass meadows also contain a carbon stock, stored in sediments. ^{43,49} The size of this stock varies between sites due to differences in species, water quality and sediment, but average stocks to 1m depth range from 36,264 to 51,889tCO₂e/km², and the UK stock may be one of the largest in Europe. ^{43,49,50} Many UKOTs, such as Bermuda and those in the Caribbean, also contain

Box 1: Steart Marshes case study

Steart Marshes is a 4.8km² area of created wetland in Somerset, including 3km² of saltmarsh, managed by the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust. The project was devised as a method of compensating for habitat loss in the Severn Estuary due to rising sea levels.⁵¹ Flooding of land to create saltmarsh (a process called managed realignment) has doubled the organic carbon content of the soils. At present, carbon sequestration provides no funding. It has been valued at £15,375-£46,125 annually, but this is probably an underestimate.40 Funding for ongoing management of Steart Marshes comes primarily from the UK Government's Higher Level Stewardship agri-environment scheme, which provides approximately £120,000 annually. However, Steart Marshes provides numerous co-benefits other than carbon sequestration such as tourism, flood protection, biodiversity, and grazing land for cattle, which have an estimated total annual value of £491,155-£913,752.40

Steart Marshes has taken several steps to engage with the local community and ensure their support for the project.

- It made use of land that was at elevated risk of flooding, which reduced the effective cost to landowners.
- The local community has been involved in consultations about project plans since April 2009.⁵²
- Publicity has been restricted in order to limit visitor numbers, at the request of the local community.
- Tennant farmers graze cattle on parts of the saltmarsh.⁵²

seagrass.⁵³ Their sequestration rates and stocks may differ from those in the UK. Seagrass has declined by up to 92% in the UK due to disease, physical damage, and pollution of coastal waters.^{44,45} Marine heatwaves, driven by climate change, may cause widespread loss of seagrass meadows, and increased storm frequency may increase sediment erosion.^{54,55} However, seagrasses may sequester and store more carbon with climate change due to factors such as sea level rise and increased CO₂ levels.^{20,56,57}

Natural regeneration of seagrass is slow, and the potential extent of UK seagrass restoration is uncertain, with estimates ranging from less than 200km² to 820km².^{20,45} 820km² of new seagrass meadow may increase UK blue carbon sequestration by around 1%.47,48,58 Temperate seagrass restoration projects are rare, but large scale restoration has been undertaken in Virginia, USA, where 2km² of seagrass was seeded, which has now expanded into more than 36km².^{59,60} Smaller scale projects exist in the UK, such as a 0.02km2-planting project in Pembrokeshire and a 0.08km² planting project in Devon.^{60,61} In the case of the Virginia project, only 10% of restoration costs could be recuperated from monetised carbon offsets. 48,62 Costs in the US vary greatly between US\$120,000/km2 and US\$400,000,000/km², but figures for the UK cannot yet be established due to lack of large projects. 20,48 As with saltmarsh, seagrass restoration can provide co-benefits other than carbon sequestration including improved water quality and increased abundance of economically valuable fish and shellfish. 45,59,63 Seagrass also provides biodiversity benefits although, in Bermuda, grazing by turtles has reduced seagrass extent. 64,65

Mangroves

Mangroves are coastal forests that are found in the tropics and that support unique ecosystems of plants and animals. ²⁶ There are an estimated 316km² of mangrove in the UKOTs. ²⁶ The

majority of this area is found in Turks and Caicos, where CO₂ sequestration by mangroves may exceed emissions.^{26,66,67} Mangroves have a high CO₂ sequestration rate, estimated at 603tCO₂e/km²/year, and a substantial carbon stock, estimated at 104,710tCO₂e/km².^{68,69} The UK Government provides funding to support mangroves in Asia through the Official Development Assistance Strategy.⁷⁰

Less well understood systems

There is evidence that less well understood marine habitats may offer contributions to marine carbon sequestration. This includes kelp, shelly reefs, maerl reefs, and marine sediments. Some of these habitats are also important stores of carbon.⁷¹ No internationally agreed guidelines currently exist for incorporation of these habitats into national GHG inventories.

Kelp

Kelp are marine algae (seaweeds) that forms underwater forests around the coast. UK extent estimates range from less than 4,000km² to 8,151km², most of which is probably in Scottish waters. 25,46,72,73 Unlike saltmarsh and seagrass, kelp grows on solid rock rather than sediments.⁷⁴ However, the carbon sequestered by kelp can be buried in sediments away from the site of kelp growth, but this is hard to measure and estimates of carbon sequestration rates are uncertain. 75,76 Studies of Scottish kelp productivity, coupled with international estimates of burial rate, suggest a potential carbon burial by UK kelp forests of 147tCO2e/km2/year, but there may be substantial regional variation.^{25,77} Kelp forests also hold short term carbon stores while alive. These stores have an estimated carbon content of 2,372tCO2e/km2, but this varies between sites due to differences in temperature and species. 46,74 Climate change poses a threat to kelp carbon sequestration because kelp grows less rapidly in warm waters.74 In addition, warm water kelp species, which may displace cold water species due to climate change, decompose more rapidly, releasing carbon before it can be stored in sediments.⁷⁸ Increasing frequency of storm events and heatwaves may also damage kelp forests. 79,80

Kelp forest regeneration is in its infancy in the UK. In 2020, the Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority introduced a trawling exclusion zone to allow regeneration of kelp forests in 200km² of inshore coastal waters, and the carbon benefits are under investigation. Costs of kelp restoration in the UK are currently unknown. The maximum possible extent of kelp forest in the UK is also unclear, but a figure of 19,000km², with a further 11,000km² of another seaweed, is realistic. Kelp has extensive co-benefits beyond carbon sequestration: it acts as a nursery for economically valuable fish and shellfish, improves water quality, and may also reduce coastal erosion. Sa-85 Seaweed aquaculture can be used to produce a variety of products, including food, medicines, bioplastics and biofuels, with businesses in Cornwall and Yorkshire. Cornwall and Yorkshire.

Shelly reefs

Shelly reefs include bivalve reefs (such as oyster beds and mussel beds) and cold water corals.⁷¹ The extent of these habitats in UK waters is uncertain.⁷³ An extent of 20.5km² has been estimated for Scotland.⁷¹ The capacity of shelly reefs to net sequester carbon is also uncertain.⁸⁹ The primary store of

carbon in shelly reefs is the shell material.⁷³ However, this shell is formed in a process called calcification, the net effect of which is to release CO₂.^{90–93} Other processes on shelly reefs can contribute to carbon storage by burying organic carbon.⁹⁴

As such, the net effect of shelly reefs on atmospheric CO₂ levels is highly context dependent.⁹¹ Even if the formation of reefs has resulted in net CO₂ emissions, damage to those reefs could result in further emissions through release of organic carbon.⁹¹ Although their credentials as blue carbon habitats remain uncertain, shelly reefs have numerous co-benefits for biodiversity, water quality, coastal defence and fish stocks.^{95,96} Restoration projects for shelly reefs are ongoing.⁹⁷

Maerl reefs

Maerl are areas of calcifying seaweed that form extensive reef-like habitats and produce CO₂ in the same way as shelly reefs. ^{89,98} However, maerl reefs can also sequester CO₂, both by producing organic carbon and by trapping organic carbon from other sources. ^{12,99} The physical structure of maerl means that even beds that have died may still sequester and store organic carbon from other sources provided they are not physically damaged. ^{12,100} Despite their low growth rates, maerl beds can contain stocks of stored organic carbon. ^{12,99} Samples from a maerl reef on the West coast of Scotland recorded organic carbon stocks of 2,675tCO₂e/km² within the top 25cm of sediment. ¹²

The extent of maerl in the UK is uncertain, but modelling suggests that the extent could exceed 7,000km².^{73,101} Sites are also known from the West coast of England and Wales, and from Northern Ireland, although the majority of the habitat is probably in Scottish waters.^{102,103} Globally, maerl is predicted to decline rapidly as a result of climate change in the next 30 years, and dredging and trawling could release carbon through disturbance of sediments.^{12,101,104–106} Maerl can take many years to recover from damage, even after pressures are removed.¹⁰⁷ In addition to carbon sequestration and storage, maerl provides benefits in terms of increased biodiversity and abundance of economically valuable fish and shellfish species.^{100,101,105}

Seafloor muds and sands

Seafloor sediments are increasingly being recognised as important stores of organic carbon. $^{108-110}$ Although the rate of carbon sequestration by sediments is low, this is compensated for by their vast area: sediments cover the seafloor throughout the UK's 756,639km² EEZ.^{9,17,25,111} Organic carbon is sequestered locally by plankton and transported to these habitats by rivers and ocean currents, which produces carbon accounting challenges.⁷¹ The carbon content of seafloor sediments has been mapped throughout the UK EEZ.9 An average organic carbon stock of 2,606tCO2e/km2 has been measured for the top 10cm of the UK EEZ as a whole, but this varies substantially from site to site. 9 The sequestration rate of organic carbon in marine sediments is also variable: measurements range from 0.74-217tCO₂e/km²/year depending on location and sediment type. 46,73,112,113 An average of 6tCO₂e/km²/year has been suggested for the shallower UK sediments.²⁵ These sediments also contain a large carbonate stock, but as this is produced by calcification, it does not reduce atmospheric CO₂ levels.^{9,91,92}

The primary threats to organic carbon in sediments are practises that disturb the sediment surface, such as bottom trawling, construction, and dredging, where heavy equipment is dragged across the seafloor. 109,110,114,115 Emissions from seafloor disturbance have been estimated at several million tCO2e/year, but lack of measurements from control areas makes the impact difficult to determine. 44,109 The slow accumulation rate of sediment carbon means that damage from seabed disturbance is very long-lasting, even if damaging activities cease. 109 Bottom trawling is often focussed in areas with higher sediment carbon stocks. 9,38,111 Warming in the surface ocean, and overfishing, may alter the rate at which phytoplankton contributes to sediment carbon stocks. 108,111,116,117

The future of blue carbon

Marine ecosystems in the UK have an estimated total carbon sequestration rate of 11 million tCO2e/year, although this value is very uncertain, and higher estimates exist. 46,58 This compares with estimates of sequestration by UK terrestrial ecosystems of 28 million tCO2e/year, but is still just over 2% of UK emissions. 13,60 Conservation of existing areas of habitat protects stocks of carbon that have already been sequestered, whereas compensating for habitat loss in one area with habitat creation in another is liable to lead to creation of carbon emissions from the disturbed sediment. 56,118,119 Research is ongoing regarding the interactions between habitats that may support carbon storage processes. These may produce carbon sequestration benefits that are greater than the sum of the two habitats working independently, such as oyster reefs reducing erosion of saltmarsh or shellfish improving water quality for seagrass. 120,121

Carbon value

Marine carbon sequestration and storage in the UK has an estimated value of £57.5bn annually. Solution UK has an estimated value of £57.5bn annually. Understanding of saltmarshes is now close to the stage where its incorporation into the national GHG inventory would be possible, but there are data gaps to resolve. On 20,32,38 Other UK blue carbon habitats are not yet as well understood. As much as 50% of the carbon stored in blue carbon habitats is not produced in those habitats. Understood in blue carbon habitats is not produced in those habitats. All 21,122–124 This provides a challenge for measurement of carbon sequestration within individual habitats. All 1,125 Shelf sediments and kelp are difficult to incorporate into national GHG inventories because they may also export carbon across jurisdictional boundaries. All 1,123,126 Establishing verification standards could reduce these problems, but there are no mandatory monitoring requirements for blue carbon projects to collect and supply data to inform these.

Private investment

Many private sector investments in carbon sequestration projects occur through voluntary carbon markets, where businesses fund carbon sequestration projects to offset their own emissions. 127,128 These projects must be verified by a third party as conforming to certain standards that ensure the carbon sequestration value of the project. 127 Standards for blue carbon restoration projects have existed since 2015, but the Verra organisation has recently introduced a method for also crediting avoided emissions (i.e. conservation) in these systems. 129,130 UK-specific verification bodies exist for woodland and peatland. 131,132 The UK Government has provided the UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, and a team of partners, with funding

to explore the development of a saltmarsh carbon code, which may encourage private investment.⁸¹

Carbon sequestration projects often employ blended finance, where projects are funded by a combination of public and private finance. ^{133,134} In general, voluntary carbon markets are weakly regulated. ¹³⁵ This, alongside scientific uncertainty, can cause problems for investors because they cannot trust the quality of carbon credits that they purchase. In blue carbon projects, the value of non-carbon benefits is often much greater than value of carbon sequestration. ^{40,136,137} Monetisation of non-carbon benefits can improve viability of projects. ^{40,138}

Current frameworks

Current legislation designates more than 1/3 of UK waters as Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). 139 The UK Government's National Adaptation Programme states that the UK Government will protect natural carbon stores within MPAs. 140 However, 95% of UK MPAs permit bottom trawling, which disturbs carbon stocks in sediments. 101,139 Consequently, few UK MPAs meet the IUCN standards for effective protection. 141,142 A new category of MPA - Highly Protected Marine Areas (HPMAs) - has been proposed to address this issue. 143 This proposal, made by the Benyon review, recommended that at least one of the five pilot sites designated as an HPMA should be designated on the basis of its blue carbon value. 143 This may provide data on effective management approaches. 144 The UK Government is now in the process of identifying sites where HPMAs can be piloted. 145,146 Internationally, the UK leads the Global Ocean Alliance, and is calling for a '30by30' target to protect at least 30% of the land and of the ocean globally by 2030.147,148 The UK has also committed to reversing loss of nature by 2030, and to investing £3bn in natural solutions to fight climate change over the next five years. 149 Current government investment into blue carbon has come from the Natural Environment Investment Readiness Fund and the UK Research Institute.81,150,151

Given the widespread distribution of sediment carbon stocks, area-based protections alone are not likely to be sufficient to prevent carbon emissions from disturbance. ^{141,152} The UK Government and the devolved administrations have published a series of regional marine plans that are designed to govern the use of UK waters. ¹⁵³ These plans include a consideration of the blue carbon value of the waters, and require that projects take appropriate steps to, in order of preference, avoid, minimise, mitigate, or compensate for damages. ¹⁵⁴

Many organisations including the Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authorities, Marine Management Organisation, Environment Agency, and Crown Estate all share some responsibility for the management of blue carbon projects in UK waters. 34 Guidelines for licencing and regulating blue carbon projects have yet to be established by these authorities. Coordination among devolved administrations is necessary to ensure consistent administration of blue carbon projects. The Scottish Government has established the Scottish Blue Carbon Forum, which carries out research on blue carbon issues to provide advice to policymakers. 155 No equivalent body yet exists for the UK Government. The Scottish, Welsh, and UK Governments have all commissioned reports on the potential of blue carbon habitats within their waters. 46,71,156

Endnotes

- UK Government (2008). <u>Climate Change Act 2008.</u>
 UK Government.
- 2. UK Government (2019). <u>The Climate Change Act</u> 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019. UK Government.
- 3. BEIS (2021). <u>UK enshrines new target in law to slash emissions by 78% by 2035.</u> Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy.
- 4. Gummer, J. *et al.* (2020). <u>The Sixth Carbon Budget:</u> <u>The UK's path to Net Zero.</u> Climate Change Committee.
- 5. Gummer, J. *et al.* (2019). Net Zero: The UK's contribution to stopping global warming. Climate Change Committee.
- 6. Brown *et al.* (2021). <u>Independent Assessment of UK Climate Risk.</u> Committee on Climate Change.
- 7. Shukla, P. R. *et al.* (2019). <u>Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degredation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.</u> <u>IPCC.</u>
- 8. Royal Society (2018). <u>Greenhouse gas removal.</u> Royal Society.
- 9. Smeaton, C. *et al.* (2021). <u>Marine Sedimentary</u> Carbon Stocks of the United Kingdom's Exclusive Economic Zone. *Front. Earth Sci.*, Vol 9, 593324.
- 10. Estes, E. R. *et al.* (2019). <u>Persistent organic matter in oxic subseafloor sediment.</u> *Nat. Geosci.*, Vol 12, 126–131.
- 11. Theuerkauf, E. J. *et al.* (2015). <u>Carbon export from fringing saltmarsh shoreline erosion overwhelms carbon storage across a critical width threshold. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, Vol 164, 367–378.</u>
- 12. Mao, J. *et al.* (2020). <u>Carbon burial over the last four millennia is regulated by both climatic and land use change. *Glob. Change Biol.*, Vol 26, 2496–2504.</u>
- 13. BEIS (2021). <u>2019 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Final Figures.</u> Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy.
- 14. Macreadie, P. I. *et al.* (2019). The future of Blue Carbon science. *Nat. Commun.*, Vol 10, 3998.
- 15. (2009). <u>Blue carbon: the role of healthy oceans in binding carbon: a rapid response assessment.</u> GRID-Arendal.
- 16. Solan, M. *et al.* (2020). <u>Benthic-based contributions to climate change mitigation and adaptation.</u> *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, Vol 375, 20190107.
- Sea Around Us (2016). <u>Sea Around Us EEZ Map.</u>
 Sea Around Us.
- 18. Bertram, C. *et al.* (2021). <u>The blue carbon wealth of nations</u>. *Nat. Clim. Change*,
- 19. DEFRA (2020). <u>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland's Nationally Determined Contribution.</u>
 Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy.
- 20. Beechener, G. *et al.* (2021). <u>Achieving net zero: A review of the evidence behind potential carbon offsetting approaches.</u> Environment Agency.
- 21. Duarte, C. M. *et al.* (2005). <u>Major role of marine</u> <u>vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle.</u> *Biogeosciences*, Vol 2, 1–8.
- 22. Hiraishi, T. *et al.* (2014). 2013 supplement to the 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: wetlands: methodological guidance on lands with wet and drained soils, and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Ipcc, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- 23. (2019). <u>Blue Carbon in Shallow Coastal Ecosystems:</u> <u>Carbon Dynamics, Policy, and Implementation.</u> Springer Singapore.
- 24. UK Government (2021). <u>United Kingdom. 2021</u> <u>National Inventory Report (NIR).</u> United Nations.
- 25. Stafford, R. *et al.* (2021). Nature based Solutions for Climate Change in the UK: A Report by the British Ecological Society. British Ecological Society, London.

- 26. FAO (2007). <u>The world's mangroves, 1980-2005: a thematic study in the framework of the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005.</u> Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 27. Miles, R. *et al.* (2018). <u>Sustainable Shores (Technical Report).</u> Royal Society for the Protection of Birds.
- 28. Burden, A. et al. (2020). Impacts of climate change on coastal habitats, relevant to the coastal and marine environment around the UK. MCCIP Sci. Rev. 2020, 28 pages. Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership (MCCIP), Lowestoft, UK.
- 29. Beaumont, N. J. *et al.* (2014). <u>The value of carbon sequestration and storage in coastal habitats.</u> *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, Vol 137, 32–40.
- 30. Al-Haj, A. N. *et al.* (2020). <u>A synthesis of methane emissions from shallow vegetated coastal ecosystems.</u> *Glob. Change Biol.*, Vol 26, 2988–3005.
- 31. Mcleod, E. *et al.* (2011). A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO 2. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, Vol 9, 552–560.
- 32. Burden, A. *et al.* (2019). <u>Effect of restoration on saltmarsh carbon accumulation in Eastern England.</u> *Biol. Lett.*, Vol 15, 20180773.
- 33. Johnson, B. J. *et al.* (2016). <u>Climate Regulation: Salt Marshes and Blue Carbon.</u> in *The Wetland Book*. (eds. Finlayson, C. M. et al.) 1–12. Springer Netherlands.
- 34. Green, B. *et al.* (2021). <u>Blue Recovery estuary and coast ecosystem resilience through collaborative partnerships. <u>Briefing Note February 2021, v1.1.</u> Environment Agency.</u>
- 35. Doody, J. P. (2013). <u>Coastal squeeze and managed</u> realignment in southeast England, does it tell us anything about the future? *Ocean Coast. Manag.*, Vol 79, 34–41.
- 36. Dickie, I. *et al.* (2015). <u>The Economic Case for Investment in Natural Capital in England.</u> EFTEC.
- 37. McLeod, I. M. *et al.* (2019). The role of restoration for conserving Matters of National Environmental Significance. Report to the National Environmental Science Programme, Marine Biodiversity Hub. Marine Biodiversity Hub.
- 38. Gregg, R. *et al.* (2021). <u>Carbon storage and</u> sequestration by habitat: a review of the evidence (second edition).
- 39. ABPmer (2015). <u>The cost of undertaking managed realignment schemes in the UK.</u> ABPmer.
- 40. da Silva, L. V. *et al.* (2014). <u>Ecosystem services</u> <u>assessment at Steart Peninsula, Somerset, UK.</u> *Ecosyst. Serv.*, Vol 10, 19–34.
- 41. McAlinden, B. (2015). <u>Managed realignment at Alkborough Flats, Lincolnshire.</u> Institution of Civil Engineers.
- 42. Mossman, H. L. *et al.* (2012). <u>Does managed coastal realignment create saltmarshes with 'equivalent biological characteristics' to natural reference sites?</u> *J. Appl. Ecol.*, Vol 49, 1446–1456.
- 43. Potouroglou, M. *et al.* (2021). <u>The sediment carbon stocks of intertidal seagrass meadows in Scotland.</u> *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, Vol 258, 107442.
- 44. Luisetti, T. *et al.* (2019). <u>Quantifying and valuing</u> carbon flows and stores in coastal and shelf ecosystems in the <u>UK.</u> *Ecosyst. Serv.*, Vol 35, 67–76.
- 45. Green, A. E. *et al.* (2021). <u>Historical Analysis Exposes Catastrophic Seagrass Loss for the United Kingdom.</u> *Front. Plant Sci.*, Vol 12, 629962.
- 46. Parker, R. *et al.* (2021). <u>Carbon stocks and accumulation analysis for Secretary of State (SoS) region.</u> Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.
- 47. Greiner, J. T. *et al.* (2013). <u>Seagrass Restoration Enhances "Blue Carbon" Sequestration in Coastal Waters.</u> *PLoS ONE*, Vol 8, e72469.

- 48. Oreska, M. P. J. *et al.* (2020). <u>The greenhouse gas offset potential from seagrass restoration.</u> *Sci. Rep.*, Vol 10, 7325.
- 49. Green, A. *et al.* (2018). <u>Variability of UK seagrass</u> <u>sediment carbon: Implications for blue carbon estimates and marine conservation management.</u> *PLOS ONE*, Vol 13, e0204431.
- 50. Lima, M. do A. C. *et al.* (2020). <u>Environmental drivers of sediment carbon storage in temperate seagrass meadows.</u> *Hydrobiologia*, Vol 847, 1773–1792.
- 51. Blue Carbon WWT Steart case study.pdf.
- 52. Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust <u>Steart Marshes About.</u> Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust.
- 53. Jayathilake, D. R. M. *et al.* (2018). <u>A modelled global distribution of the seagrass biome.</u> *Biol. Conserv.*, Vol 226, 120–126.
- 54. Winters, G. *et al.* (2011). <u>Effects of a simulated heat</u> wave on photophysiology and gene expression of high- and <u>low-latitude populations of Zostera marina.</u> *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, Vol 435, 83–95.
- 55. Dawson, K. *et al.* (2018). <u>Climate change and marine conservation: Supporting management in a changing environment Seagrass (Zostera spp.).</u> Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership.
- 56. Macreadie, P. I. *et al.* (2014). <u>Quantifying and modelling the carbon sequestration capacity of seagrass meadows A critical assessment. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, Vol 83, 430–439.</u>
- 57. Zimmerman, R. C. *et al.* (1997). <u>Impacts of CO2</u> <u>Enrichment on Productivity and Light Requirements of Eelgrass.</u> *Plant Physiol.*, Vol 115, 599–607.
- 58. ONS (2021). <u>Marine accounts, natural capital, UK:</u> 2021. Office for National Statistics.
- 59. Orth, R. J. *et al.* (2020). <u>Restoration of seagrass</u> <u>habitat leads to rapid recovery of coastal ecosystem services.</u> *Sci. Adv.*, Vol 6, eabc6434.
- 60. MCSUK *et al.* (2021). <u>Blue carbon: Ocean-based solutions to fight the climate crisis.</u> Marine Conservation Society and Rewilding Britain.
- 61. Natural England (2021). <u>Life Recreation ReMEDIES</u>
 <u>Newsletter: Winter 2020/21.</u> Natural England.
- 62. Shilland, R. *et al.* (2021). A question of standards: Adapting carbon and other PES markets to work for community seagrass conservation. *Mar. Policy*, Vol 129, 104574.
- 63. Aoki, L. R. *et al.* (2019). <u>Seagrass restoration</u> reestablishes the coastal nitrogen filter through enhanced <u>burial</u>. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, Vol 65, 1–12.
- 64. Heithaus, M. R. *et al.* (2014). <u>Seagrasses in the age of sea turtle conservation and shark overfishing.</u> *Front. Mar. Sci.*, Vol 1,
- 65. Fourqurean, J. *et al.* (2010). <u>Effects of excluding sea</u> turtle herbivores from a seagrass bed: Overgrazing may have led to loss of seagrass meadows in Bermuda. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, Vol 419, 223–232.
- 66. Crippa, M. *et al.* (2020). <u>Fossil CO2 and GHG emissions of all world countries: 2020 report.</u> Publications Office European Commission. Joint Research Centre.
- 67. Wang, F. *et al.* (2020). <u>Global blue carbon</u> <u>accumulation in tidal wetlands increases with climate change.</u> *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, nwaa296.
- 68. Atwood, Trisha *et al.* (2017). <u>Country-level mangrove</u> <u>soil carbon stocks and losses.</u> PANGAEA Data Publisher for Earth & Environmental Science.
- 69. Breithaupt, J. L. *et al.* (2012). <u>Organic carbon burial rates in mangrove sediments: Strengthening the global budget. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, Vol 26, 2012GB004375.</u>
- 70. Global Challenges Research Fund Global Challenges Research Fund. Global Challenges Research Fund.
- 71. Shafiee, R. T. (2021). <u>Blue Carbon.</u> Scottish Parliament Information Centre.

- 72. Smale, D. *et al.* (2016). <u>Linking environmental</u> <u>variables with regional- scale variability in ecological structure</u> <u>and standing stock of carbon within UK kelp forests.</u> *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, Vol 542, 79–95.
- 73. Burrows, M. T. *et al.* (2014). <u>Commissioned Report No. 761: Assessment of carbon budgets and potential blue carbon stores in Scotland's coastal and marine environment. Scottish Natural Heritage.</u>
- 74. Pessarrodona, A. *et al.* (2018). <u>Carbon assimilation</u> and transfer through kelp forests in the NE Atlantic is diminished under a warmer ocean climate. *Glob. Change Biol.*, Vol 24, 4386–4398.
- 75. Ortega, A. *et al.* (2019). <u>Important contribution of macroalgae to oceanic carbon sequestration.</u> *Nat. Geosci.*, Vol 12, 748–754.
- 76. Krause-Jensen, D. *et al.* (2016). <u>Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon sequestration.</u> *Nat. Geosci.*, Vol 9, 737–742.
- 77. Queirós, A. M. *et al.* (2019). <u>Connected macroalgal-sediment systems: blue carbon and food webs in the deep coastal ocean. *Ecol. Monogr.*, Vol 89,</u>
- 78. Pessarrodona, A. *et al.* (2019). <u>Can ecosystem functioning be maintained despite climate-driven shifts in species composition? Insights from novel marine forests.</u> *J. Ecol.*, Vol 107, 91–104.
- 79. Byrnes, J. E. *et al.* (2011). <u>Climate-driven increases in storm frequency simplify kelp forest food webs: CLIMATE CHANGE AND KELP FOREST FOOD WEBS.</u> *Glob. Change Biol.*, Vol 17, 2513–2524.
- 80. Arafeh-Dalmau, N. *et al.* (2019). <u>Extreme Marine</u> <u>Heatwaves Alter Kelp Forest Community Near Its Equatorward Distribution Limit.</u> *Front. Mar. Sci.*, Vol 6, 499.
- 81. Environment Agency *et al.* (2021). <u>Innovative nature projects awarded funding to drive private investment.</u> Environment Agency, Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and Natural England.
- 82. Yesson, C. *et al.* (2015). <u>The distribution and environmental requirements of large brown seaweeds in the British Isles.</u> *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.*, Vol 95, 669–680.
- 83. Williams, C. *et al.* (2019). <u>Valuing the ecosystem service benefits of kelp bed recovery off West Sussex.</u> NEF Consulting.
- 84. Smale, D. A. *et al.* (2013). Threats and knowledge gaps for ecosystem services provided by kelp forests: a northeast Atlantic perspective. *Ecol. Evol.*, Vol 3, 4016–4038.

 85. Layton, C. *et al.* (2020). Kelp Forest Restoration in Australia. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, Vol 7, 74.
- 86. Duarte, C. M. *et al.* (2017). <u>Can Seaweed Farming Play a Role in Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation?</u> *Front. Mar. Sci.*, Vol 4,
- 87. Living North (2019). <u>Seagrown's Seaweed Farm on the Yorkshire Coast.</u> Living North.
- 88. University of Exeter (2019). <u>Innovative seaweed farm introduced to Cornwall</u>. University of Exeter.
- 89. Macreadie, P. I. *et al.* (2017). <u>Addressing calcium carbonate cycling in blue carbon accounting.</u> *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.*, Vol 2, 195–201.
- 90. Ilyina, T. *et al.* (2013). Assessing the potential of calcium-based artificial ocean alkalinization to mitigate rising atmospheric CO 2 and ocean acidification: MODELING MITIGATION POTENTIAL OF AOA. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, Vol 40, 5909–5914.
- 91. Fodrie, F. J. *et al.* (2017). Oyster reefs as carbon sources and sinks. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, Vol 284, 20170891.
- 92. Lovelock, C. E. *et al.* (2019). <u>Dimensions of Blue Carbon and emerging perspectives.</u> *Biol. Lett.*, Vol 15, 20180781.

- 93. Kalokora, O. J. et al. (2020). An experimental assessment of algal calcification as a potential source of atmospheric CO2. PLOS ONE, Vol 15, e0231971.
- Kent, F. E. A. et al. (2017). In situ biodeposition measurements on a Modiolus modiolus (horse mussel) reef provide insights into ecosystem services. Estuar. Coast. Shelf *Sci.*, Vol 184, 151–157.
- 95. Henry, L.-A. et al. (2016). Global Biodiversity in Cold-Water Coral Reef Ecosystems. in Marine Animal Forests. (eds. Rossi, S. et al.) 1–21. Springer International Publishing.
- Grabowski, J. H. et al. (2012). Economic Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Oyster Reefs. BioScience, Vol 62, 900-909.
- 97. Native Oyster Network Native Oyster Restoration Native Oyster Network - UK and Ireland. Projects.
- Birkett, D. A. et al. (1998). Maerl (volume V). An overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. Scottish Association for Marine Science.
- Porter, J. S. et al. (2020). Blue carbon audit of Orkney waters. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science Reports Oceanography.
- Sheehan, E. V. et al. (2015). The ecosystem service 100. value of living versus dead biogenic reef. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., Vol 154, 248-254.
- 101. Simon-Nutbrown, C. et al. (2020). Species <u>Distribution Modeling Predicts Significant Declines in Coralline</u> Algae Populations Under Projected Climate Change With <u>Implications for Conservation Policy.</u> Front. Mar. Sci., Vol 7, 575825.
- 102. MARlin Maerl beds. The Marine Life Information Network.
- 103. Marine Scotland Maerl beds (Priority Marine Feature) (SNH WMS). Marine Scotland.
- 104. Hall-Spencer, J. M. et al. (2000). Scallop dredging has profound, long-term impacts on maerl habitats. ICES J. Mar. Sci., Vol 57, 1407-1415.
- Hall-Spencer, J. M. et al. (2003). Bivalve fishing and 105. maerl-bed conservation in France and the UK - retrospect and prospect. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst., Vol 13, S33-S41.
- 106. McCoy, S. J. et al. (2015). Coralline algae (Rhodophyta) in a changing world: integrating ecological, physiological, and geochemical responses to global change. J. Phycol., Vol 51, 6-24.
- 107. Hall-Spencer, J. (2010). Background document for maerl. OSPAR.
- Barange, M. et al. (2017). The Cost of Reducing the 108. North Atlantic Ocean Biological Carbon Pump. Front. Mar. Sci., Vol 3,
- Paradis, S. et al. (2021). Persistence of 109. Biogeochemical Alterations of Deep-Sea Sediments by Bottom Trawling. Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol 48,
- 110. Sala, E. et al. (2021). Protecting the global ocean for biodiversity, food and climate. Nature, Vol 592, 397–402.
- Kröger, S. et al. (2018). Shelf Seas: The Engine of 111. Productivity, Policy Report on NERC-Defra Shelf Sea Biogeochemistry programme. Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science.
- Diesing, M. et al. (2021). Organic carbon densities 112. and accumulation rates in surface sediments of the North Sea and Skagerrak. Biogeosciences, Vol 18, 2139–2160.
- Legge, O. et al. (2020). Carbon on the Northwest European Shelf: Contemporary Budget and Future Influences. Front. Mar. Sci., Vol 7, 143.
- Oberle, F. K. J. et al. (2016). Deciphering the lithological consequences of bottom trawling to sedimentary habitats on the shelf. J. Mar. Syst., Vol 159, 120–131.

- 115. van der Molen, J. et al. (2013). Modelling marine ecosystem response to climate change and trawling in the North Sea. Biogeochemistry, Vol 113, 213–236.
- Stafford, R. et al. (2021). The role of predator 116. by fishing on ocean carbon dynamics. In Review. removal
- Atwood, T. B. et al. (2018). Predators Shape 117. Sedimentary Organic Carbon Storage in a Coral Reef Ecosystem. Front. Ecol. Evol., Vol 6, 110.
- Herr, D. et al. (2017). Pathways for implementation 118. of blue carbon initiatives. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst., Vol 27, 116-129.
- Pörtner, H.-O. et al. (2019). IPCC Special Report on 119. the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. IPCC.
- 120. Ridge, J. T. et al. (2017). Salt Marsh and Fringing Oyster Reef Transgression in a Shallow Temperate Estuary: Implications for Restoration, Conservation and Blue Carbon. Estuaries Coasts, Vol 40, 1013-1027.
- Wall, C. C. et al. (2011). The Growth of Estuarine 121. Resources (Zostera marina, Mercenaria mercenaria, Crassostrea virginica, Argopecten irradians, Cyprinodon variegatus) in Response to Nutrient Loading and Enhanced Suspension Feeding by Adult Shellfish. Estuaries Coasts, Vol 34, 1262-1277.
- 122. Smeaton, C. et al. (2017). Sources, Sinks, and Subsidies: Terrestrial Carbon Storage in Mid-latitude Fjords: Sources, Sinks, and Subsidies. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, Vol 122, 2754-2768.
- Krause-Jensen, D. et al. (2018). Sequestration of macroalgal carbon: the elephant in the Blue Carbon room. Biol. Lett., Vol 14, 20180236.
- Smale, D. A. et al. (2018). Appreciating interconnectivity between habitats is key to blue carbon management. Front. Ecol. Environ., Vol 16, 71-73.
- 125. Middelburg, J. J. et al. (1997). Organic Carbon <u>Isotope Systematics of Coastal Marshes.</u> Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., Vol 45, 681-687.
- Luisetti, T. et al. (2020). Climate action requires new accounting guidance and governance frameworks to manage carbon in shelf seas. Nat. Commun., Vol 11, 4599.
- 127. Kollmuss, A. et al. (2008). Making Sense of the Voluntary Carbon Market: A Comparison of Carbon Offset Standards. Stockholm Environment Institute and Tricorona.
- 128. Kreibich, N. et al. (2021). Caught in between: credibility and feasibility of the voluntary carbon market post-2020. Clim. Policy, 1–19.
- 129. VERRA First Blue Carbon Conservation Methodology Expected to Scale Up Finance for Coastal Restoration & Conservation Activities. VERRA.
- 130. VERRA (2015). VM0033 - Methodology for Tidal Wetland and Seagrass Restoration. VERRA.
- IUCN (2017). Peatland Code v1.1. International 131. Union for Conservation of Nature.
- Woodland Carbon Code (2021). Woodland Carbon 132. Code v2.1. Woodland Carbon Code.
- 133. Bijoor, S. (2020). Mainstreaming Blue Carbon to
- <u>Finance Coastal Resilience.</u> Conservation Finance Network. 134. Moxey, A. et al. (2021). Barriers and opportunities facing the UK Peatland Code: A case-study of blended green Land Use Policy, Vol 108, 105594.

finance

- Gillenwater, M. et al. (2007). Policing the voluntary 135. carbon market. Nat. Clim. Change, Vol 1, 85–87.
- Brander, L. M. et al. (2012). Ecosystem service 136. values for mangroves in Southeast Asia: A meta-analysis and value transfer application. Ecosyst. Serv., Vol 1, 62–69.
- Mukherjee, N. et al. (2014). Ecosystem Service 137. Valuations of Mangrove Ecosystems to Inform Decision Making and Future Valuation Exercises. *PLoS ONE*, Vol 9, e107706.
- 138. Friess, D. A. et al. (2016). Mangrove Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES): A Viable Funding Mechanism for <u>Disaster Risk Reduction?</u> in *Ecosystem-Based Disaster Risk*

- Reduction and Adaptation in Practice. (eds. Renaud, F. G. et al.) Vol 42, 75–98. Springer International Publishing.
- 139. Dunkley, F. *et al.* (2021). <u>Marine Unprotected Areas.</u> Marine Conservation Society.
- 140. DEFRA (2018). <u>The National Adaptation Programme</u> and the Third Strategy for Climate Adaptation Reporting: <u>Making the country resilient to a changing climate.</u>
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. 141. Laffoley, D. (2020). <u>Protecting and effectively</u>
- managing blue carbon ecosystems to realise the full value to society- a sea of opportunities. World Wildlife Foundation UK.
- 142. IUCN (2018). <u>Applying IUCN's Global Conservation Standards to Marine Protected Areas (MPA). Delivering effective conservation action through MPAs, to secure ocean health & sustainable development.</u> International Union for Conservation of Nature.
- 143. Benyon, R. *et al.* (2020). <u>Benyon Review Into Highly Protected Marine Areas.</u>
- 144. Rees, S. E. *et al.* (2020). <u>Emerging themes to support ambitious UK marine biodiversity conservation.</u> *Mar. Policy*, Vol 117, 103864.
- 145. DEFRA (2021). <u>Government response to the Highly Protected Marine Areas (HPMAs) review.</u> DEFRA.
- 146. Joint Nature Conservancy Council (2021). <u>Highly</u> Protected Marine Areas. Joint Nature Conservancy Council
- Protected Marine Areas. Joint Nature Conservancy Council. 147. DEFRA (2019). Ten countries join UK's leading
- 30by30 initiative to safeguard the world's ocean. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.
- 148. DEFRA (2021). <u>Government sets out marine</u> commitments to mark World Ocean Day. UK Government.
- 149. Sharma, A. *et al.* (2021). <u>Prime Minister commits</u> £3bn UK climate finance to supporting nature. UK Government.
- 150. World Wildlife Foundation (2020). <u>Leaders' Pledge for Nature: World leaders commit to reversing nature loss by 2030.</u> World Wildlife Foundation.
- 151. Tridimas, B. (2021). <u>Coastal carbon capture and biodiversity projects secure £9.2m marine research funding.</u> BuisnessGreen.
- 152. Atwood, T. B. *et al.* (2020). <u>Global Patterns in Marine Sediment Carbon Stocks.</u> *Front. Mar. Sci.*, Vol 7, 165.
- 153. MMO *et al.* (2021). <u>Adoption of Marine Plans marks</u> <u>big step forward for England's seas.</u> Marine Management Organisation and Department for Environment, Food and Rural Afairs.
- 154. DEFRA (2021). <u>North East Inshore and North East Offshore Marine Plan.</u> Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.
- 155. Scottish Government Scottish Blue Carbon Forum.
- 156. Armstrong, S. *et al.* (2020). <u>Estimating the Carbon Sink Potential of the Welsh Marine Environment.</u> Natural Resources Wales.