



DEBATE PACK

Number 2020-0040, 3 March 2020

The Criminal Cases Review Commission's process for review of convictions relating to the Post Office and Horizon accounting system

By Niamh Foley

Summary

A Westminster Hall debate on the Criminal Cases Review Commission's process for review of convictions relating to the Post Office and Horizon accounting system is scheduled for Thursday 5th March 2020 at 1.30pm. The Member leading the debate is Lucy Allan MP.

This briefing contains background information, parliamentary and press material, as well as suggested further reading which Members may find useful when preparing for this debate.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1 Background	2
2. The Criminal Cases Review Commission	4
2.1 Background	4
2.2 Recent update	4
2.3 The CCRC process	5
3. Press Articles and Media	6
3.1 Articles	6
3.2 Other materials	7
4. Press releases	8
5. PQs	9
5.1 Written questions	9
5.2 Oral questions	13
6. Other parliamentary material	17
6.1 Early Day Motions	17
6.2 Debates	17
7. Further reading	18
7.1 House of Commons Library	18
7.2 Trial judgements	18
7.3 Other material	18

The House of Commons Library prepares a briefing in hard copy and/or online for most non-legislative debates in the Chamber and Westminster Hall other than half-hour debates. Debate Packs are produced quickly after the announcement of parliamentary business. They are intended to provide a summary or overview of the issue being debated and identify relevant briefings and useful documents, including press and parliamentary material. More detailed briefing can be prepared for Members on request to the Library.

1. Introduction

The Post Office Horizon IT system issue has been a long, complicated and contested saga.

It started with a number of subpostmasters who experienced difficulties relating to the Post Office computer system ("Horizon") which is used for transactions between Post Office Limited and its branch network. Subpostmasters claimed that there were problems with the IT system and related training and support.

These problems have been linked to prosecutions of subpostmasters by the Post Office and their convictions for offences such as theft and false accounting.

The Criminal Cases Review Commission (CCRC) is reviewing a group of these cases. The rest of this section gives further background to the case – the next section looks at the Criminal Cases Review Commission process in particular.

1.1 Background

The campaigning group Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance (JFSA) was set up in 2009 "to represent the victims of the Post Office and its Horizon system".

In 2012, the Post Office set up an independent inquiry and later a (controversial) Complaint Review and Mediation Scheme to examine cases raised in the inquiry report. The scheme was closed in 2015.

The High Court case

From late 2015, a group of claimants, coordinated by the JFSA, began to seriously explore legal action against the Post Office.¹ In January 2016, Alan Bates (from JFSA) secured the financial funding to take the Post Office to court from *Therium Group* in return for a proportion of any damages awarded.²

This High Court group litigation was between Alan Bates (from the JFSA) and other claimants and Post Office Limited. It was described in one judgement as a:

.. long-running legal dispute between the claimants, who are all either former or serving sub-post masters and sub-post mistresses ("SPMs"), and the Post Office. All the SPMs in the litigation had contracted with the Post Office at different times to run branch Post Offices in different locations across the country. The Post Office introduced a computer system called Horizon in 2000 across all its branches. That was changed in 2010 to an online version called Horizon Online or HNG-X, and the former version is now called Legacy Horizon. The claimants' case is essentially that both Legacy Horizon and Horizon Online (which used many elements of the existing system) were or are unreliable, and this

¹ JFSA, [About us](#) [undated]

² Andy Furey, *CWU*, [Letter to branches](#), 21 November 2019

led to unexplained shortfalls and discrepancies in their branch accounts. The Post Office denies this, asserting that the systems were and are robust, and extremely unlikely to be the cause of such matters. There are numerous different causes of action brought against the Post Office, and the Post Office counterclaims against the claimants, including seeking damages for fraud.³

The case was divided into a number of trials – the first was on Common Issues (judgement on 15 March 2019), the second on Horizon Issues, and there were expected to be more to follow.

On 11 December there was a **settlement** between the Post Office and the claimants, where the Post Office accepted it had previously 'got things wrong in [their] dealings with a number of postmasters'⁴.

More detail can be found in the [Joint Press Statement - Resolution to the Group Litigation proceedings \(Bates v Post Office Limited\)](#).

On 16 December, there was a **judgement** in favour of the claimants at the High Court in the second trial, where the judge stated that even after improvement, the Horizon IT system was not 'remotely robust'.⁵

One notable feature was that the judge said he would refer Fujitsu – the Horizon computer supplier – to the director of public prosecutions for possible further action because he had "grave concerns" about the evidence of Fujitsu employees.⁶ He was reported as saying that

Based on the knowledge that I have gained, I have very grave concerns regarding veracity of evidence given by Fujitsu employees to other courts in previous proceedings about the known existence of bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system.⁷

³ Para 1 of [Judgment \(No.6\) "Horizon Issues" in Alan Bates and Others vs Post Office Ltd \(The Post Office Group Litigation\) in the High Court](#), 16 Dec 2019

⁴ Simon Gompertz, *BBC*, ['Victory against Post Office one of the best days of my life'](#), 11 December 2019

⁵ *BBC*, [Workers secure fresh victory over Post Office](#), 16 December 2019

⁶ Post Office Trial (Nick Wallis), [They did it](#), 16 Dec 2019

⁷ *BBC*, [Workers secure fresh victory over Post Office](#), 16 December 2019

2. The Criminal Cases Review Commission

2.1 Background

The Criminal Cases Review Commission (CCRC) has been receiving applications from former sub-postmasters claiming to have been falsely convicted of offences as a result of Horizon failures since March 2015 (additional claims have been added since that time).

The CCRC have been reviewing claims from subpostmasters since 2015

This review is separate to the high court case, and the claims are still being reviewed.

The CCRC originally informed the applicants that they were going to make a decision on their cases in 2018, before the group litigation was due to begin. However, Lord Arbutnot wrote to the CCRC to say

I would worry that any decision not to reopen these criminal cases, before the allegations have been examined in the cases that are currently before the [High] court, might be subject to judicial review.⁸

In December 2018, the CCRC informed the subpostmasters that it was necessary for the Commission to wait for the first judgement in the case (the 'Common Issues' judgement) before making judgements on the cases under review.⁹ They then later decided to await the second judgement (which appeared on 16th December 2019).

The CCRC discusses the review on page 17 of the [2018/19 Annual reports and accounts](#).

2.2 Recent update

The Commission have said they will make a decision in March on whether cases can go to the Court of Appeal, as journalist Nick Wallis reports:

Last week the Criminal Cases Review Commission wrote to 34 former Subpostmasters and former Post Office workers to let them know they are planning to convene a meeting of CCRC commissioners in March 2020. This meeting will decide whether or not to recommend their cases be sent to the Court of Appeal.

In the same letter, the CCRC also mentioned that another "around 20" Post Office applicants had been accepted into the process "recently".

The CCRC [later said] "recently" meant since the 16 Dec judgment and "around 20" actually meant 22. There was some speculation that the new touchy-feely Post Office might have, in the spirit of co-operation and openness referred these cases themselves, but the CCRC said this was not the case. Either way

⁸ Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, [The CCRC, Subpostmasters and the Court of Appeal](#), 4 February 2020

⁹ CCRC, [Annual report and accounts 2018/19](#), HC 2438, 2019, p17

there are now 56 Post Office cases before the CCRC, all of which will be considered in March.¹⁰

2.3 The CCRC process

The CCRC's core role is to consider cases where someone convicted of an offence has exhausted their normal rights of appeal, yet still maintains they were wrongly convicted or incorrectly sentenced.¹¹

For a case to be referred to an appeal court, there needs to be "some potentially significant new evidence or new legal argument that makes the case look sufficiently different to how it looked at trial or at an earlier appeal". There must be a real possibility that the subsequent appeal would succeed.¹²

If the Committee decide there is a real possibility that some or all of the post office convictions could be overturned, the case(s) will be referred to the Court of Appeal. The CCRC will issue a Statement of Reasons which will explain the reasoning for the referral.¹³

Nick Wallis, a journalist who has reported in detail on the Post Office and Horizon issue ([Post Office Trial](#)), has noted that:

Whilst the CCRC has referred multiple cases relating to specific issues over a period of time... the Subpostmasters would constitute the largest single cohort of cases referred at once (if, indeed, they are all referred).¹⁴

His article on [The CCRC, Subpostmasters and the Court of Appeal](#) highlights some of the issues that might come up in the CCRC review.

¹⁰ Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, [The CCRC, Subpostmasters and the Court of Appeal](#), 4 February 2020

¹¹ CCRC, [Annual report and accounts 2018/19](#), HC 2438, 2019

¹² CCRC, [Annual report and accounts 2018/19](#), HC 2438, 2019

¹³ CCRC, [How it works](#), undated

¹⁴ Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, [The CCRC, Subpostmasters and the Court of Appeal](#), 4 February 2020

3. Press Articles and Media

Please note: The Library is not responsible for either the views or accuracy of external content

3.1 Articles

[Boris Johnson commits to 'getting to the bottom of' Post Office Horizon IT scandal](#)

Karl Flinders, *Computer Weekly* [May require registration], 26 February 2020

Computer Weekly has been following this story closely and have published many articles on it. If you scroll down to the bottom of this story, you will see a list of their articles from each stage in the process.

['Post Office false theft claim left me bankrupt'](#)

Hayley Hassall and Mick Tucker, *BBC*, 11 Feb 2020

[Victims of the Post Office's sub-postmaster scandal on their decade of hell](#)

Katie Glass, *The Times* [may require subscription], 9 Feb 2020

[The CCRC, Subpostmasters and the Court of Appeal](#)

Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, 4 February 2020

The [Post Office Trial website](#), run by journalist Nick Wallis, has been reporting on the trial in detail. As well as news, the site includes a various summaries of earlier parts of the case. Nick Wallis also tweets: [@nickwallis](#).

[Former Post Office chief Paula Vennells told: quit public jobs](#)

Sabah Meddings, *The Times* [may require subscription], 29 December 2019

[Postmasters jailed and forced to pay millions after an IT glitch wrongly showed shortfalls should be repaid their money by the Post Office, forensic accountant claims](#)

Tom Witherow, *Daily Mail*, 26 Dec 2019

[Judge rules that Post Office It system was 'not remotely robust'](#)

Siddharth Venkataramakrishnan, *Financial Times* [requires subscription], 16 December 2019

[Post Office IT fiasco: 'Decade of hell' for accused](#)

Susie Rack, *BBC*, 15 December 2019

[Post Office Horizon: Postmistress wants conviction overturned](#)

BBC, 12 December 2019

[Post Office reaches £58m settlement with sub-postmasters](#)

Nikou Asgari, *Financial Times* [requires subscription], 11 December 2019

[What's this all about?](#)

Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, 10 August 2019

[CCRC blames Post Office for decision delay](#)

Nick Wallis, *Post Office Trial*, 24 July 2019

[CCRC may hold off subpostmaster decision until after Post Office Horizon trial](#)

Karl Flinders, *Computer Weekly*, 30 November 2018

[Forensic accountants appointed to pore over Post Office IT scandal](#)

Alexander J Martin, *The Register*, 24 April 2017

3.2 Other materials

[Going Postal](#)

Richard Brooks and Nick Wallis, *Private Eye* podcast, 4 Feb 2020

[Inside Out South](#)

BBC, First broadcast 20 Jan 2020

[Second Class Citizens: The Post Office IT Scandal](#)

BBC *File on Four*, 11 February 2020

4. Press releases

Post Office, ['Joint Press Statement: Resolution to the Group Litigation Proceedings \(Bates v Post Office Limited\)'](#), 11 December 2019

Joint statement on settlement between Post Office and claimants in the high court case.

5. PQs

5.1 Written questions

[Post Office: Fraud](#)

27 February 2020 | 19768

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, what steps Post Office Ltd is taking to identify former subpostmasters that repaid monies in relation to unproven allegations of financial discrepancies.

Answered by: Paul Scully

Post Office Limited will announce a scheme in the near future which will address historic shortfalls for postmasters who were not part of the Group Litigation. Post Office Limited are actively considering how best to reach all relevant postmasters both past and present as part of this process.

[Post Office: Written question](#)

12 February 2020 | 12841

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, pursuant to the Answer of 27 January 2020 to Question 6034 on the Post Office, if she will meet with representatives of the Communication Workers Union to discuss how that cultural change will be implemented.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

The Government recognises that postmasters are the backbone of the Post Office network and it is committed to ensuring cultural change takes place regarding the Post Office's engagement and relationship with postmasters.

As such I am keen to hold discussions with representatives of the Communication Workers Union. My office has been in touch with the Communication Workers Union and are waiting for a response.

[Post Office: Written question](#)

12 February 2020 | 12252

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, pursuant to the Answer of 27 January 2020 to Question 6034 on Post Office, if she will hold discussions with representatives of the Communication Workers Union on how that cultural change will be implemented.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

The Government recognises that postmasters are the backbone of the Post Office network and it is committed to ensuring cultural change takes place regarding the Post Office's engagement and relationship with postmasters.

As such, I am keen to hold discussions with representatives of the Communication Workers Union. My office has been in touch with the Communication Workers Union and are waiting for a response.

Post Office: Written question

27 January 2020 | 6034

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, with reference to the Oral Contribution of the Minister for Small Business, Consumers and Corporate Responsibility on 21 January 2020, what steps her Department is taking to help ensure that cultural change takes place in the Post Office; and if she will make a statement.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

Postmasters are the backbone of the Post Office, and their branches are vital to communities across the country. That is why Government takes Post Office Limited's (POL) relationship with its postmasters very seriously.

The Post Office's Chair acknowledges the criticism received in the Horizon litigation and has committed to applying the lessons learnt. BEIS Ministers and officials will continue to raise these matters and monitor these issues in their regular engagement with the Post Office.

Progress on this work and other issues of mutual interest will also continue to be discussed at the quarterly working group between the National Federation of Sub-Postmasters, Post Office and Government under my chairmanship.

Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy: Written Question

27 January 2020 | 6033

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, with reference to the Answer of 15 January 2020 to Question 3683, on Post Office: Compensation, when her Department plans to respond.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

The letter received from the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance is still being considered and a response will be provided in due course.

Post Offices: ICT: Written question

20 January 2020 | 3685

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Chancellor of the Exchequer, whether the settlement award of £57.75 million with sub-postmasters announced on 11 December 2019 will be subject to VAT.

Answered by: Jesse Norman

Payments resulting from legal settlements are normally VAT free as they are not made in return for goods or services.

Due to HMRC's statutory responsibilities I am unable to comment on the tax affairs of individuals or identifiable groups of individuals.

Post Office: Compensation: Written question

20 January 2020 | 3684

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, whether she plans to introduce additional mechanisms for Government oversight of Post Office Ltd as a result of the settlement with sub-postmasters announced on 11 December 2019.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

Ministers and officials are in regular contact with the Post Office.

Government has robust mechanisms in place to maintain oversight of the Post Office that are regularly reviewed. UKGI acts as Shareholder Representative for BEIS, overseeing POL's corporate governance, strategy, and the stewardship of POL's financial and other resources on behalf of the shareholder, as well as holding a non-executive seat on POL's Board.

Post Office: Compensation: Written question

20 January 2020 | 3683

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, whether her Department has received a payment request from the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance regarding the settlement with sub-postmasters announced on 11 December 2019.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

The Department has received a letter from the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance. This is being considered and a response will be provided in due course.

[Post Office: Compensation: Written question](#)

20 January 2020 | 3682

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, what discussions she has had with representatives from the Post Office following the settlement with sub-postmasters announced on 11 December 2019.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

Ministers and officials are in regular contact with the Post Office.

Government has robust mechanisms in place to maintain oversight of the Post Office that are regularly reviewed. UKGI acts as Shareholder Representative for BEIS, overseeing POL's corporate governance, strategy, and the stewardship of POL's financial and other resources on behalf of the shareholder, as well as holding a non-executive seat on POL's Board.

[Post Office: Fraud: Written question](#)

7 January 2020 | 156 | Grouped Questions: [155](#)

Asked by: Marion Fellows (Motherwell and Wishaw)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, what proportion of the settlement reached in court on Post Office Ltd's Horizon accounting software will be paid by the Government.

Answered by: Kelly Tolhurst

The Government recognises the critical role that post offices play in communities and for small businesses across the UK. This is why the Government committed to safeguard the post office network and protect existing rural services. The overall number of post offices across the UK remains at its most stable in decades with over 11,600 branches thanks to significant Government investment of over £2 billion since 2010.

While the Post Office is publicly owned it operates as an independent, commercial business. As such, the legal defence of this litigation and the costs involved in doing so are being handled by Post Office Limited and the settlement is being funded by commercial revenue and not by taxpayers.

[Post Office: ICT: Written question - 242042](#)

16 April 2020 | 242042

Asked by: Mr Kevan Jones (North Durham)

To ask the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy, what steps is he taking to ensure the Government is held

accountable for the decisions and actions of Post Office Limited in the handling of postmasters' problems with Horizon.

Answered by: Claire Perry

The Government recognises the critical role that post offices play in communities and for small businesses across the UK. This is why the Government committed to safeguard the post office network and protect existing rural services. The overall number of post offices across the UK remains at its most stable in decades with over 11,500 branches thanks to significant Government investment of over £2 billion since 2010.

The courts are the right place to hear and resolve what are long-standing issues between some postmasters and the Post Office. While this matter rests with the courts it is inappropriate for the Government to comment further. The legal defence of this litigation and the costs involved in doing so are being handled by Post Office Ltd, which operates as an independent, commercial business within the strategic parameters set by Government.

5.2 Oral questions

[Engagements](#)

26 February 2020 | HC 672 cc315

Asked by: Kate Osborne | [900849]

Q4. Like many other sub-postmasters, my constituent Chris Head was victim to the Post Office Horizon IT system scandal. These errors have resulted in bankruptcies, imprisonment and even suicide. Will the Prime Minister today assure Chris and others that he will commit to launching an independent inquiry?

Answering member: Boris Johnson | The Prime Minister

I am indeed aware of the scandal to which the hon. Lady alludes and the disaster that has befallen many Post Office workers—I have met some of them myself. I am happy to commit to getting to the bottom of the matter in the way that she recommends.

[Business of the House](#)

13 February 2020 | HC 671 cc999

Asked by: Lucy Allan

Just before Christmas, the Post Office made a settlement with 550 post office workers, including my constituent Tracy Felstead, who was imprisoned at age 19 in Holloway prison after her till did not balance due to a technical glitch. May we have a debate in Government time to consider what is fast becoming a national scandal?

Answering member: Mr Jacob Rees-Mogg | The Leader of the House of Commons

I share my hon. Friend's concern that innocent people seem to have suffered because of IT flaws within the Post Office systems. That is entirely wrong and improper, and they ought to be compensated fairly. I think an Adjournment debate or a Westminster Hall debate would be very suitable.

Business of the House

13 February 2020 | HC 671 cc1000

Asked by: Jessica Morden

Following on from the question asked by the hon. Member for Telford (Lucy Allan), I wish to draw attention to the hundreds of sub-postmasters across the country, including constituents of mine, who have lost their businesses and homes after paying out of their own pockets to make up for the failure of the Post Office's Horizon IT system. May I also ask for a debate on how we can help them to get recompense and justice?

Answering member: Mr Jacob Rees-Mogg | The Leader of the House of Commons

I reiterate the sympathy I have for these cases. Whether there is time for a debate in Government time, I cannot promise.

Post Office: Prosecution powers

4 February 2020 | HL 801 cc1709-1710

Asked by: Lord Arbuthnot of Edrom

To ask Her Majesty's Government what recent assessment they have made of the Post Office's powers to conduct prosecutions

Answering member: Lord Duncan of Springbank | The Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State, Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy and Northern Ireland Office

My Lords, the Post Office's powers to bring a private prosecution, which fall under Section 6(1) of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985, are not specific to that company. It has the same right as any other person, whether an individual or a company, to bring a private prosecution.

Asked by: Lord Arbuthnot of Edrom

My Lords, I am grateful to my noble friend for that Answer. Last year, the Post Office had to settle litigation brought by 555 sub-postmasters at a cost to it of nearly £60 million. The Court of Appeal described the Post Office as treating sub-postmasters

"in capricious or arbitrary ways which would not be unfamiliar to a mid-Victorian factory-owner."

The judge at first instance held that a Post Office director had set out to mislead him. How can such an organisation possibly conduct its own prosecutions when it cannot command the trust of the courts or, indeed, of the country?

Answering member: Lord Duncan of Springbank

My noble friend raises challenging points. I must stress that the leadership of the Post Office got it badly wrong and, as a consequence of those actions, people have experienced unfortunate situations. That has changed. There has been a change in culture, a new chief executive and a new recognition that the old ways of doing things cannot go on. That is why the Minister responsible in my department, Kelly Tolhurst, now has quarterly meetings with the National Federation of SubPostmasters as a way of ensuring a better relationship with those who are at the sharp end of the Post Office.

[Post Office: Prosecution powers](#)

4 February 2020 | HL 801 cc1710-1711

Asked by: Baroness Neville-Rolfe

My Lords, as the Minister responsible at the time, I was uneasy because it involved claims of dishonesty by apparently honest citizens. I therefore advised the Post Office to take outside legal counsel to try and get at the truth. Now that we have reached the present stage, what arrangements for compensation have been, are still being or will be made for those affected?

Answering member: Lord Duncan of Springbank | The Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State, Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy and Northern Ireland Office

My noble friend is right to draw attention to this. As my noble friend Lord Arbuthnot said at the outset, there will be a settlement of nearly £60 million for those who brought the class action itself. There will also need to be individual criminal examination for those who have experienced the sharpest end of the law. I cannot comment on these matters, but I recognise how important they are to bring about the justice required.

[Topical questions](#)

21 January 2020 | HC 670 cc167-168

Asked by: Gill Furniss

Sub-postmasters across the country offer valuable services to many of our communities. The case they brought against the Post Office has now concluded and the courts have found that the Post Office was at fault for its aggressive prosecutions of sub-postmasters for errors in the Horizon IT system. These prosecutions saw some sub-postmasters unlawfully jailed, and many losing their homes, livelihoods and reputations. What support are the Government giving to those affected? What has been done to ensure that a scandal such as this is never allowed to happen again? Will the Government launch a full inquiry into the circumstances that led to this tragedy, and a full review of the governance and management of the Post Office—the judge was

highly critical of that—and of the impact this will have on the post office network?

Answering member: Kelly Tolhurst | The Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy

The hon. Lady is correct; on 11 December, Post Office Ltd reached a settlement in the group litigation claim brought by 555 postmasters or former postmasters. This has culminated in a successful mediation, and a settlement of £57.7 million was reached, funded by the Post Office. The Government welcome the agreement by the parties to settle this long-running litigation. It is true to say that many have suffered through litigation, and Post Office Ltd has apologised for that. One key point is that this mediation occurred under the new chief executive officer, who is making sure that the recommendations made by the judge, and culture change and changes within the Post Office, happen.

6. Other parliamentary material

6.1 Early Day Motions

[POST OFFICE HORIZON COMPUTER SYSTEM](#)

EDM 427 (session 2015-16)

10 September 2015

Kate Hoey

That this House notes with concern that a number of postmasters have been forced to pay back thousands of pounds, or have been prosecuted or imprisoned, solely on the basis of questionable data indicating cash shortfalls from the Post Office Horizon computer system introduced in 2000; further notes that the BBC Panorama investigation on 17 August 2015, entitled Trouble at the Post Office, demonstrated the damaging effect this has had on the lives of the postmasters involved; acknowledges that the report by forensic accountants Second Sight into claims many sub-postmasters were wrongly accused raises serious questions about the integrity of the Horizon system; expresses disappointment with the dismissive response from the Post Office to the findings of the Second Sight report; notes the concerns raised by the Business, Innovation and Skills Committee in the last Parliament in a letter to the Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills, dated 17 March 2015, about the approach of the Post Office to the subsequent mediation scheme; notes the Prime Minister's comment on 1 July 2015, Official Report, column 1477, that the internal inquiry by the Post Office has not satisfied many hon. Members on both sides of the House; commends the work of the former hon. Member for North Hampshire, James Arbuthnot, for consistently raising this important issue in the last Parliament; and urges the Government to establish a full independent judicial inquiry.

6.2 Debates

[Post Office: Horizon Accounting System](#)

25 February 2020 | House of Lords | 802 cc.83GC-96GC

[Post office Horizon System](#)

29 June 2015 | House of Commons | cc.1306-1310

7. Further reading

7.1 House of Commons Library

[The Post Office](#)

House of Commons Library, 12 April 2019

[Criminal Cases Review Commission](#)

House of Commons Library, 7 January 2016

7.2 Trial judgements

[Bates v Post Office \[2019\] EWHC 606](#)

Judgement (No.3) "Common Issues"

15 March 2019

[Bates v Post Office \[2019\] EWHC 3408](#)

Judgement (No.6) "Horizon Issues"

16 December 2019

7.3 Other material

[Tailored Review of the Criminal Cases Review Commission](#)

Ministry of Justice

[The Post Office Horizon system and Seema Misra](#)

Tim McCormack, Digital Evidence and Electronic Signature Law Review
13, *Hein Online*, 2016, page 133-138

About the Library

The House of Commons Library research service provides MPs and their staff with the impartial briefing and evidence base they need to do their work in scrutinising Government, proposing legislation, and supporting constituents.

As well as providing MPs with a confidential service we publish open briefing papers, which are available on the Parliament website.

Every effort is made to ensure that the information contained in these publicly available research briefings is correct at the time of publication. Readers should be aware however that briefings are not necessarily updated or otherwise amended to reflect subsequent changes.

If you have any comments on our briefings please email papers@parliament.uk. Authors are available to discuss the content of this briefing only with Members and their staff.

If you have any general questions about the work of the House of Commons you can email hcinfo@parliament.uk.

Disclaimer

This information is provided to Members of Parliament in support of their parliamentary duties. It is a general briefing only and should not be relied on as a substitute for specific advice. The House of Commons or the author(s) shall not be liable for any errors or omissions, or for any loss or damage of any kind arising from its use, and may remove, vary or amend any information at any time without prior notice.

The House of Commons accepts no responsibility for any references or links to, or the content of, information maintained by third parties. This information is provided subject to the [conditions of the Open Parliament Licence](#).